

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	, 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/541,994		04/03/2000	J. Julian Paas	CA9-99-043	4706
25259	7590	02/02/2004		EXAMI	NER
IBM COR			BURGESS, BARBARA N		
3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195 REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2157 •	
				DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/541,994	PAAS, J. JULIAN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Barbara N Burgess	2157
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply	LVIO OET TO EVOIDE AM	IONITH (O) EDOM
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	l. I.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ate, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	November 2003.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Acopies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been	Application No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the f 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. first sentence of the specific	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application) cation or in an Application Data Sheet.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of		
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2157

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Request for Continuation Examination (RCE) filed November 6, 2003. Claims 1-16 are presented for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1-11, 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Hirabayashi (hereinafter "Hira", 2003/0145049 A1).

As per claims 1, 13, and 16, Hira discloses a method of executing a software application, comprising the steps of:

Calling the software application residing on a server from one of a plurality of clients,
the clients and the server connected to each other through at least one network, the
software application having a plurality of policy frameworks, each associated with a
respective one of the plurality of clients (paragraph [0038]);

Art Unit: 2157

- Launching a container/desktop of one of the plurality of clients consistent with the respective policy framework of the one client (paragraphs [0038]-[0039], [0041]-[0042], [0044], [0055], [0057]- [0059]);
- The container/desktop initializing and communicating to the server to execute a script of the application (paragraphs [0038]-[0039], [0041]-[0042], [0044], [0055], [0057]- [0059]);
- Executing the script on the server, the script downloading a first user-interface component of the application to the container/desktop (paragraphs [0011]-[0014], [0038], [0041]-[0042], [0059]-[0060]);
- The container/desktop executing the first user-interface component (paragraphs [0046], [0055], [0057], -[0060], [0063]-[0064]);
- First user interface component linking to and starting another user-interface component of the application (paragraphs [0046], [0055], [0057], -[0060], [0063]-[0064]);
 - Closing the first user-interface component (paragraphs [0046][0048]-[0050],
 [0052]);
 - The container/desktop executing and closing the subsequent user-interface component (paragraphs [0046][0048]-[0050], [0052]).

As per claim 3, Hira discloses:

Art Unit: 2157

The container/desktop removing the user-interface components from memory within
the client as each first and subsequent user-interface component is closed
(paragraphs [0046][0048]-[0050], [0052]).

As per claim 4, Hira discloses a method of executing an application having a plurality of tasks to be interactively executed with a user, said method comprising:

- Downloading to a one of a plurality of container/desktops only those of a plurality of user-interface components consistent with a policy/framework of the one container/desktop, the user interface components stored on a server needed to perform a first task of the plurality of tasks of an application according to a script executing on the server (paragraphs [0038]-[0039], [0041]-[0042], [0044], [0055], [0057]- [0059]);
- Downloading to the one container/desktop only those user-interface components stored on a server needed to perform a subsequent task of the plurality of tasks of an application according to the script (paragraphs [0046], [0055], [0057], -[0060], [0063]-[0064]);
- Executing subsequent task on the one container/desktop (paragraphs [0011]-[0014], [0038], [0041]-[0042], [0059]-[0060]);
- Closing said downloaded user-interface components needed to perform the task when no longer needed (paragraphs [0046][0048]-[0050], [0052]);

Art Unit: 2157

- Purging said closed user-interface components from said container/desktop when said closed user-interface components are no longer needed (paragraphs [0046][0048]-[0050], [0052]);
- Repeating steps (c) and (d) (paragraphs [0046][0048]-[0050], [0052]);
- Repeating steps (e) through (g) until all of the plurality of tasks is completed
 (paragraphs [0046], [0055], [0057], -[0060], [0063]-[0064]).

As per claims 5 and 14-16, Hira further discloses a computer server comprising:

- A processor, a memory, a bus, and at least one I/O port by which to communicate with a remote client having a container/desktop (paragraphs [0038]-[0039])
- An operating system with which to coordinate the processor, the memory, the bus, and the at least one I/O port to communicate to the client (paragraphs [0038]-[0039]);
- An application comprising a plurality of tasks to be executed on the
 container/desktop, the application stored in memory of and executing on the server
 (paragraphs [0038]-[0039], [0041]-[0042], [0044], [0055], [0057]- [0059]);
- A script of the application stored in the memory of and executing on the server (paragraphs [0011]-[0014], [0038], [0041]-[0042], [0059]-[0060]);
- A plurality of user-interface components stored in the memory, the script comprising
 code executing on the server to connect the user-interface components to comprise
 the application wherein the application launches the container/desktop on the client
 that interacts with the script executing on the server to download from the server to

Art Unit: 2157

the container/desktop only those user-interface components required for a current task executing on the container (paragraphs [0046], [0055], [0057], -[0060], [0063]-[0064]);

As per claim 6, Hira discloses a client device comprising:

- A container/desktop (paragraphs [0038]-[0039]);
- An I/O port with which to communicate to one or more servers having software
 applications invoking a plurality of tasks on the container/desktop, scripts, and userinterface components for the application (paragraphs [0038]-[0039]);
- An interactive medium with which to interact with a user, wherein when the user uses the interactive medium to request an application from the server, the script and the application executing on the server downloads only user-interface components to the container/desktop needed by a current one of the plurality of tasks executing according to the script and and wherein the container/desktop discards the user-interface components no longer needed by the application (paragraphs [0038]-[0039], [0041]-[0042], [0044], [0055], [0057]-[0059]);

As per claim 7, Hira discloses:

 wherein the container/desktop comprises code for implementation of the userinterface component on a personal computer (paragraphs [0038]-[0039]).

As per claim 8, Hira discloses:

Art Unit: 2157

 wherein the container/desktop comprises code for implementation of the userinterface component on a voice-response unit (paragraphs [0038]-[0039]);

As per claim 9, Hira discloses:

 wherein the container/desktop comprises code for implementation of the userinterface component on a network computer (paragraphs [0038]-[0039]).

As per claim 10, Hira discloses:

 wherein the container/desktop comprises code for implementation of the userinterface component on a persuasive mobile device (paragraphs [0038]-[0039]).

As per claim 11, Hira discloses:

- wherein the container/desktop comprises code for implementation of the user-interface component on a second server behaving as a client (paragraphs [0038]-[0039]).
- 2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popp et al. (hereinafter "Popp", 6,249,291 B1) in view of Hirabayashi (hereinafter "Hira", 2003/0145049 A1).

As per claim 12, Popp discloses a method to script user-interface components to create an application stored on a server and whose user-interface components are

Art Unit: 2157

downloaded to one of a variety of container/desktop of different clients, said method comprising:

- decomposing the presentation logic of the application into a plurality of tasks to be performed interactively with a user on the client (column 7, lines 13-20, 45-57, column 8, lines 32-40, 44-48, column 10, lines 46-52);
- for each of the tasks, creating a state diagram having a plurality of nodes wherein a
 user-interface component is associated with at least two of the plurality of nodes
 (column 8, lines 28-31, 44-46, column 12, lines 44-53);
- writing a script connecting each of the user-interface components in accordance with
 the state diagram and a policy framework of the container/desktop, wherein said
 script and said user-interface components are stored on at least one server to which
 said client is connected and said script executes on said server to download said
 user-interface components to said container/desktop on an as needed basis (column
 12, lines 53-67, column 13, lines 42-67).

Popp does not explicitly disclose each one policy framework being unique to one of said variety of container/desktops of different clients and downloading to container/desktop of said client and in accordance with the policy framework unique to said container/desktop of said client.

However, in an analogous art, Hira discloses this feature (paragraphs [0038]- [0039], [0041]-[0042], [0044], [0055], [0057]- [0059]).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate a policy framework being

Art Unit: 2157

unique to one of said variety of container/desktops of different clients and downloading to container/desktop of said client and in accordance with the policy framework unique to said container/desktop of said client in Popp's method in order for each remote apparatus or device to execute assigned script programs which it receives from the server.

Response to Arguments

The Office notes the following arguments:

- (a) Brown states that the application and script are downloaded to the client and the client executes the script instead of the server as stated by the claim.
- (b) Brown discloses only one apparatus as oppose to having a plurality of policy frameworks, each associated with a respective one of the plurality of clients.

In response to:

(A)-(b) Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (703)

305-3366. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306

for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-

3900.

Barbara N Burgess Examiner

Art Unit 2157

January 26, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100